UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

I	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
•	10/749,186	12/30/2003	Richard Thomas	PA917US (51288-0053 US)	9953
	28390 MEDTRONIC	7590 12/20/2006 VASCULAR, INC.	6	EXAMINER	
	IP LEGAL DE	PARTMENT	•	GANESAN, SUBA	
	3576 UNOCAI SANTA ROSA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3738	
l	SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
	3 MO	NTHS	12/20/2006	PAP	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	_					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/749,186	THOMAS, RICHARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Suba Ganesan	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply built apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABAND	ION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 C	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 October 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,17 and 18</u> is/are w	4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,17 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-17,19-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2004 is/are:	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 August 2004</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul><li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li></ul>	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	∂(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	·	eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	sived				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	aveu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Diawing Neview (+10-946)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/27/2004</u> .	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/749,186 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 4, 17 and 18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/16/2006.

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim depends on withdrawn claims 17 and 18 in the application. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-16, and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaplan (U.S. Pat. No. 5342348).

Kaplan discloses a stent having a plurality of openings and an elongated polymer strand (16,14) woven longitudinally through the openings (fig. 2A). Examiner is interpreting the outer boundary of stent (2) to be a thin wall. Kaplan discloses that this strand is made of biodegradable polymer, for example poly(L-lactic acid) (col. 6 lines

Application/Control Number: 10/749,186

Art Unit: 3738

52-64). Kaplan also discloses an alternate polymer strand that is non-degradable, for example, strands made of ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymers (col. 7 lines 42-52). Kaplan does disclose the use of a biomolecule such as a starch, col. 7, lines 53-58. Kaplan further discloses a stent crimped onto a balloon (see fig. 6A and 6B). With respect to claims 14-16, Kaplan discloses methods of providing a therapeutic agent into a vessel lumen by implanting a stent with a woven polymer strand that has at least one therapeutic agent incorporated on it (see col. 10 lines 40-65). With respect to claim 25 and 26, it can be construed that Kaplan's strands form a sheath over a **portion** of the external surface of the stent and is can be an extruded polymer, col. 11, lines 58-61.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaplan (U.S. Pat. No. 5342348) in view of Chouinard (U.S. Pat. No. 6156064).

  Kaplan is explained above. However Kaplan does not disclose the stent is a self-expanding stent. Chouinard teaches the use of a self-expanding stent with filaments made of cellulose or collagen (see abstract and col. 8 lines 32-37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the stent of Kaplan according to the

Application/Control Number: 10/749,186

Art Unit: 3738

teaching of Chouinard to form it as a self-expanding stent, since self-expanding stents have smaller delivery profiles.

6. Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaplan (U.S. Pat. No. 5342348) in view of Mollison (U.S. Pat. No. 6015815).

Kaplan discloses same as above, including antibiotic coated strands (col. 7-8 lines 53-3). However Kaplan does not disclose this antibiotic being a tetrazole containing immunosuppressant macrolide antibiotic. Mollison teaches the use of macrolide immunomodulators containing tetrazole for the purpose of treating restenosis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the strands of Kaplan to include a tetrazole containing immunosuppressant macrolide antibiotic as taught by Mollison in order to treat restenosis. With regard to claim 20, Kaplan discloses a biodegradable polymer (col. 6 lines 52-64). Since claim 21 and 22 depend from a Markush grouping (claim 20), and the limitations of the Markush grouping have been met, claim 21 and 22 have not been further treated on the merits.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suba Ganesan whose telephone number is 571-272-3243. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/749,186 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SDG 12/05/2006

BRIAN E. PELLEGRINO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Brun & Pelleyrin